

UMRBA/USACE Flood, Sediment, Drought Management Summit Section 729 Team Notes

September 30, 2019 – October 1, 2019
Bloomington, Minnesota

Planning

- How do we facilitate systemic thinking?
- What do we prioritize first? Establish order of importance
- Establish vision
- Planning – information to use (modeling)
- Common vision/purpose of watershed plan
- How do we get overarching governing body – do we need overarching governing body?
- Is 729 the only acceptable course of action? Other course of action?
- Vision
 - a) What does success look like?
 - b) Patience
 - c) Obstacles
 - d) Priorities
 - e) Focus
 - f) Compartmentalization
 - g) Define the problem

Action

- Dredge implementation
- Minimizing damages
- Development of implementable actions as part of the bigger picture
- Solution Pathways
 - a) Action oriented
 - b) Questions need to be addressed
 - c) Not endless planning
 - d) Near term wins build momentum
 - e) Understand limits and opportunities of various authorities
 - f) Provide tangible/meaningful change

Strategies

- How do we consider the entirety of the UMRS?
- How do we work with Department of Agriculture to incentivize holding water in the watershed?
- Integrating channel management with other efforts (e.g., HREP)
- Coordination with existing programs

Problems

- Clearly define the issue areas, the relationships between them, and trade-offs
- Scale, spatial, and temporal bounds for problems

Communication Strategy

- Outreach

Policy - Process

- Developing one common set of rules for the UMRS
- Listen to the experts (DNR, Corps)
- Governance body
- Can we change real estate rules for UMR – different from other parts of country?
- Should there be a team leader at the sub watersheds?
- Governance
 - a) What authority for decisions?
 - b) Points of convergence/consensus
 - c) Representation (governor appointed?)
 - d) Voting?
 - e) Advisory (FACA?)
 - f) What is penalty for noncompliance?
 - g) “How do we work like ants?”
 - h) Where are nexus points
 - i) Like a triangle to tip the balance of opposing views
- Ground rules
 - a) Set foundation
 - b) Willingness to work with diversity
 - c) Gets off to right start
 - d) Productive engagements
 - e) Respect/trust/integrity

Technical

- Systemic modeling
- Existing model exploration and convergence
- Existing data compilation
- Scaling up existing efforts for drought or flood planning
- Identify watershed storage capacities
- Review of existing information and summarize

- How do we integrate smaller scale models into single model?
- Understanding of all tools and resources available – i.e., technical, institutional, procedural
- Tools and data
 - Don't get lost chasing ends
 - Move forward with what you have
 - Risk informed decision-making
 - Budget restraints?
 - Limit to existing and historical information

Planning

- **Vision statement (3)
- Systemic thinking
- Objectives (4)

Actions (solutions) (7)

- Issue areas
- Key questions

Strategies (5/6)

- Storage
- Sub-watershed
- Integration opportunities
- Minimize damages

Evaluation (5)

- Success?
- Metrics

IEPR (concurrent)

- Science
- Social

Process – Policy

- *Governing body (concurrent) (MRC, UMRBA and USACE)
- Team leads
- Institutional? Consensus
- Budgets
- Clear rules

Technical (ants)

- (1) — *Existing information
- Scale-up existing tools/models
- Systemic models

(2) **Problems**

- Clear definition
- Understand relationships
- Trade-offs
- Scale, space, and time

Communication Strategy (concurrent)