

# UMRBA/USACE Flood, Sediment, Drought Management Summit Channel and Sediment Management Team Notes

September 30, 2019 – October 1, 2019  
Bloomington, Minnesota

## “Ripe Solutions”

[Notes: 1) Ripe solutions means actions or ideas that do not need further evaluation or study and that have general regional consent.

2) I = Impact, C = Regional Consensus

3) Alpha bullets represent individual post-its]

- **Investigate merits of increased bankline placement to protect eroding shorelines (I=3; C=2)**
  - a) Investigate merits of increased bankline placement to protect eroding shorelines
  - b) Identify opportunities and obstacles for/to bankline placement where losing shoreline and trees
  - c) Push for in-water placement
  - d) Establish a pilot comprehensive management group for one pool. Tasks identify 1) visual mosaic of habitat needs; 2) policy impediments to beneficial use; and 3) new approaches to combine funds
  - e) Bankline placement in specific locations
  - f) Integrate UMRR shoreline protection project
  - g) Utilization of dredge material for large floodplain restoration projects (program needed)
  - h) Restore bankline dimensions to historic elevations
  - i) Minimize sediment resuspension and shoreline erosion via no wake policy during high water events
- **Establish a beneficial use working group in each USACE District then share and adopt regionally (I=4; C=4)**
  - **Engineer with nature**
  - **Identify barriers to use**
  - **Explore new uses**
  - **Marketing**
  - **Sand traps/mining/harvest**
    - a) Beneficial use of dredged material
    - b) Modify Corps of Engineers river engineering process to enable opportunistic beneficial use
    - c) Harvest sand stockpiles for engineered soil applications
    - d) Sediment traps at key locations
    - e) Use engineering with nature – place material in areas and let the river move the material where it wants
    - f) Engineering with nature – e.g., seed islands
    - g) Provide tax incentives to those willing to provide land for disposal or to use material for beneficial use

- h) Put sand mining company at the sand trap at the Chippewa
  - i) Using materials on roadways to treat slippery roads in NOT a good use
  - j) Broadening DOT specs for beneficial use across states
  - k) Identify barriers to beneficial use
  - l) Identify barriers to beneficial use (e.g., cost, need, policies, quality)
  - m) Develop a public-private planning team to explore beneficial use opportunities and cost-sharing
  - n) Establish a beneficial use working group
  - o) Scope a marketing study for various sand fractions in dredged material
  - p) Beneficial use opportunities
  - q) Coordinated marketing effort for available dredged material
- **Evaluate and identify real estate and Corps policy issues (I=3.5; C=4)**
- **Beneficial use (real estate)**
  - **Federal standard definition**
  - **Compensation for flowage**
    - a) Flowage easement – acquire new lands for flood and sediment in leveed areas.
    - b) Streamline real estate
    - c) Ease restrictions on Corps standard if it results in beneficial use
    - d) Evaluate and identify real estate and Corps policy issues
    - e) Revisit federal standard definition to provide clarity
    - f) Change river engineering policy for UMR dredging due to clean nature of material
- **Policy (I=3; C=3)**
- **Streamline permit process**
  - **Establish MOUs when needed**
- **Planning (proactive) (I=3.5; C=4)**
- **Streamline process for DMMPs**
  - **Complete integrated channel and environmental pool plans for proactive placement approach**
    - a) Modify USACE accounting system to credit dredging program – missed opportunities
    - b) Identify cost barriers (i.e., trucking, landfill without reuse)
    - c) Streamline process for MVP, MVR, MVS: DMMPs, Corps planning process, proactive approach
    - d) Streamline/clarify process for construction use of dredged material
    - e) Complete plans for using dredged material for habitat benefit in areas with recurring channel issues
    - f) High dredge pools should have a standing plan to use dredge material onsite for habitat projects – i.e., cut the red tape of NEPA to allow quick placement, channel and environmental pools pre-ID

## **729 Study**

[Notes: 1) I = Impact, C = Regional Consensus

2) Alpha bullets represent individual post-its]

### — **Establish better: Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PiLT) and Revenue Sharing (I=3; C=4)**

- a) Establish better funding source for revenue sharing from Feds to counties to alleviate the problem of taking land off the tax roles when purchased by feds.

### — **How can we keep water and sediment on the landscape (I=5; C=5)**

- **Identify sources**
- **Incentive payments to keep water and soil its place**
- **Bring USDA and state farm programs into the conversation**
  - a) Expand cost-sharing opportunities for movement/placement of dredged material
  - b) How can we keep more water on the landscape?
  - c) Develop programs/incentives to promote conservation practices
  - d) Sediment credit training system. Encourage incentive system to promote sediment BMPs on the landscape.
  - e) Basin-scale water and sediment management. Keep sediment on landscape. Target worst regional contributors.
  - f) How to leverage state and federal resources (combined) to implement targeted upland practices for reduced sediment loading?
  - g) Keep the sediment on the landscape (USDA farm bill exploration and possible reprogramming to pay to keep soil and water in its place of origin)
  - h) What are sediment sources in the watershed – e.g., urban, agriculture, “natural?”
  - i) Partner with USDA-NRCS for reducing erosion and targeting most at risk areas
  - j) Tiling practices/sediment transport. Stop sediment before it reaches the river
  - k) Identify sediment sources and try to keep sediment from getting into the river
  - l) Identify and promote funding for federal programs that have the ability to affect watershed land use practices and stream stabilization practices

### — **Comprehensive Sediment Model (I=4; C=4)**

- **Understanding sediment transport**
- **Ecosystem impacts**
- **Future scenarios: “what if?”**
- **Data mining: what data do we have?**
- **Model costs**
  - a) Develop comprehensive sediment budget/model

- b) Future projections of when navigation pools are essentially full of sediment and what that means for channel maintenance
  - c) Can we refine models to improve predictive capability at habitat-relevant scales?
  - d) Intensity duration study to prove new condition and attempt to look at erosion control BMP to respond to new normal
  - e) Basin approach. Collaborate with public to identify sources and quantities of sediment.
  - f) Increase sediment transport via training structure and other tools
  - g) Need to identify effects of too much/too little sediment on areas such as ecosystem, navigation, or recreation
  - h) What does future of cost/benefit ratio look like for full/limited/no commercial navigation for each Corps of Engineers district
  - i) Is there a tipping point (and what is it) that permanently degrades ecosystem and navigation channel?
- **Identify policy changes to authorize Army Corp’s ability to reduce sediment loading from upland sources (I=5; C=3)**
  - **Identify funding and information gaps to develop sediment budget. (I=4; C=4)**
  - **Identify best (cost-effective) approaches for moving sand down river to areas that are sediment starved (I=5; C=5)**
    - a) How do we overcome policy issues related to “color of money?”
    - b) Create interagency, private, public work group to have a shared approach to channel maintenance
    - c) What beneficial use information will help to maximize ability to leverage private resources?
    - d) Sustainable material management through mission integration
    - e) Identify lead (expert) agencies/entities for each topical area or focus
    - f) How do we overcome the issue of proximity to source when finding alternative markets/uses for dredge material?
  - **What non-federal funding sources (subsidies) could expand beneficial use opportunities? (I=4; C=3)**
  - **Comprehensive marketing effort to advertise “free” material given “proximity to source” issue (I=4; C=5)**
    - a) Is current Corps budget appropriated to balance short-term and long-term needs?
    - b) Pool planning to identify habitat projects (e.g., island construction, bank stabilization) that can be completed at the same time as dredging
    - c) Using a transload facility to load material on rail and find markets away from the river
    - d) Marketing plan for beneficial use and find where there is need
    - e) Develop model/template/policy/plan for states (counties, cities) for allowing and encouraging beneficial use of dredged material
    - f) Streamline permitting process for temporary placement of material under operations and maintenance (Floodplain 401, 404)
    - g) Evaluate/modify laws/regulations around the use of sand for projects – i.e., “roundness”
    - h) Consistent policy/policy handbook
    - i) Increase the efficiency of permitting the process; decrease “emergency” needs